

**IN THE CLAIMS:**

Please cancel claim 2 without prejudice or disclaimer, and amend claims 1 and 3-4, and add new claims 5-12 as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A training assistant system comprising:  
a training task presentation unit for presenting a training task and a training content to a trainee having damage in the brain;  
a trainee's response collection unit ~~capable of~~ for collecting, from the trainee, a response in accordance with the training task and the training content;  
a brain activity measurement unit for measuring brain activity of the trainee; and  
an information processor for controlling ~~[[the]]~~ presentation by said training task presentation unit and determining a next training task to be performed such that at least a first result of the response obtained from ~~[[said]]~~ the trainee's response collection unit and a second result of measuring the brain activity of the trainee in a training execution process, which is obtained from said brain activity measurement unit, are used to decide ~~reflected in~~ the next training task to be performed,  
wherein said brain activity measurement unit measures the brain activity at each of a plurality of regions in the brain, and includes a selection unit for selecting, among said plurality of regions, a region of interest which has the damage in the brain and is used to evaluate a result of training and to determine the next training task to be performed.
2. (Cancelled)
3. (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor controls said training task presentation unit such that a task for searching ~~[[a]]~~ the region of interest, ~~which is for selecting a proper region of interest in a training process,~~ is ~~presented~~ executed prior to the presentation of said training task.
4. (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor ~~is capable of setting~~ sets evaluation criteria for the first result of training the trainee and evaluates said first result of training the trainee based on the ~~[[set]]~~ evaluation criteria.

5. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 4, wherein said evaluation criteria include a response time and a correct answer rate.
6. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor sets evaluation criteria for the second result of training the trainee and evaluates said second result of training the trainee based on the evaluation criteria.
7. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 6, wherein said evaluation criteria includes a change percentage in a peak value of the brain activity.
8. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor sets evaluation criteria for the first and second results of training the trainee and evaluates said first and second results of training the trainee based on the evaluation criteria.
9. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 8, wherein said evaluation criteria include a response time, a correct answer rate and a change percentage in a peak value of the brain activity.
10. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said selection unit compares a first timing of the response obtained from the trainee and a plurality of second timings of the brain activity in the regions in the brain, and selects the region of interest by judging synchronism between the first timing and the second timings.
11. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 10, wherein the synchronism between the first timing and the second timings is judged by using a correlation coefficient or a calculation method.
12. (New) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said training task is presented via at least images or sounds.